ilario.bonacina@upc.edu bonet@cs.upc.edu levy@iiia.csic.es

Ilario Bonacina Maria Luisa Bonet Jordi Levy

$(\neg y, 1)$ $(\neg x \lor y, 1)$ $(x, 1)$

WEIGHTED, CIRCULAR AND SEMI-ALGEBRAIC PROOFS

Weighted Resolution

$-(y + \bar{y} - 1) \cdot 3$ $-\bar{y}(x+\bar{x}-1)$ o4 $=-\bar{x}-y-x\bar{y}$

Semi-Algebraic Proofs

 Equivalent systems

This work was supported by the AEI grant numbers: PID2019-109137GB- C21 PID2019-109137GB-C22 IJC2018-035334-I PID2022-138506NB-C21 PID2022-138506NB-C22

The polynomial inequalities $p_{1}\geq 0,...$ $p_{m}\geq 0$ imply in SA $s\geq 0$ via an algebraic **identity of the form:**

Sherali-Adams (SA) is a hierarchy of relaxations that aims to solve Integer Linear Programs. As a proof system, SA is a way to show that a set of polynomial inequalities implies another polynomial inequality, under Boolean solutions.

Proof complexity studies the complexity of proving true sentences in formal systems. The systems may capture different types of reasoning (logic, algebraic, geometric…).

> **Weights in ℕor ℤ, in unary or binary give systems of different strengths.**

 $\neg x$ $Flow=1$ SYMM. CUT $\bullet 2$ $Flow=1$ $SPLIT$ \odot $\neg y$ $Flow=1$ $SPLIT$ $\neg x \vee y$

Studying NP vs coNP is the original motivation. Now it is also relevant as a tool to show limitations of families of algorithms, e.g. CDCL SAT-solvers.

Weighted Resolution, Circular Resolution and Sherali-Adams are equivalent proof systems. Nullstellensatz is equivalent to a restricted version of Weighted Resolution.

Weighted Resolution (wRes) is a proof system for MaxSAT and it is a natural generalisation of MaxSAT-Resolution.

Given a multi-set of weighted clauses, wRes gives a way to certify a lower bound on the minimum weight of the clauses that are simultaneously falsified. wRes uses Symm.Cut, Split, and rules to combine weights (≈) all as substitution rules. The goal is to derive a multiset with (\perp,w) and all **other clauses with positive weights.**

The systems SA (aka cRes/wRes) in this poster can be used both in the context of SAT and MaxSAT and they are in a sweet spot: powerful and yet not so powerful as to prohibit the existence of an efficient proof search algorithm (under some restrictions, such as width or degree).

Intro

Coefficients in unary or

binary give systems of

different strengths.

A ∨ *x B* ∨ ¬*x A* ∨ *B*

Resolution is a logic system to certify the unsatisfiability of set of clauses. It is at the base of state-of-the art SAT**solvers, and it uses the following inference rule:**

> **Atserias & Lauria introduced the proof system Circular Resolution (cRes) as a way to strengthen Resolution proofs. In a cRes proof the directed graph of derived clauses allows cycles, as long as every clause is derived at least as many times as it is required as a premise.**

There are two types of nodes (clauses and rules).

The rules are Symmetric Cut and Split.

The soundness of the system is ensured by assigning to all rules a positive flow. The "balance" of the incoming flow minus the outgoing flow might be negative only in the premises and must be strictly positive in the conclusions.

cRes is equivalent to SA (doi:10.1145/357999)

No analogue of SA with unary coefficients or wRes with unary weights.

Given a set of clauses, MaxSAT is the problem of finding an assignment maximising the number of satisfied clauses among them. Proof systems for MaxSAT certify lower bounds on the number of falsified clauses.

Circular Resolution

$(x \vee y \vee z, 1)$ $(x \vee y \vee \neg z, 1)$

$$
s = q_0 + \sum_{i+1}^{m} q_i p_i
$$

where the q_i s only have positive coefficients, and we assume the p_i s contain the polynomial $x^2 = x$ for all the variables to enforce Boolean solutions (and $x + \bar{x} = 1$ too for technical reasons)

> $-\bar{x}\bar{y}\bar{z}-\bar{x}\bar{y}z-2\bar{x}y-x$ $+\bar{x}\bar{y}(z+\bar{z}-1)$ of $+2\bar{x}(y+\bar{y}-1)$ ol

> > $+(x+\bar{x}-1)\bullet 2$